Prineville District

Land Use Plan Conformance and Determination of NEPA Adequacy (DNA)

Review and Approval

Proposed Action Title: Clinton Harris Allotment, #2551, Grazing Lease Renewal

DNA Number: OR-054-06-119

Project or Serial Number: N/A

Location of the Proposed Action: Clinton Harris Allotment is located thirteen and one-

half air miles southeast of Mitchell, Oregon

Purpose of and Need for Action: The grazing permit for this allotment expired in FY07. The purpose of and need for this action is to authorize grazing use for another 10-year period.

Description of the Proposed Action: Renew a grazing permit for the permittee in the above listed allotments for a term of ten years. Except for the term shown on the permit, all terms and conditions on the permit will remain the same; including allocated AUM's and season of use.

Plan Conformance:

The above project has been reviewed and found to be in conformance with one or more of the following BLM plans:

Two Rivers Resource Management Plan (RMP) & Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and Record of Decision June 1986.

This document is available for review at the Prineville District BLM office.

The proposed decision is in conformance with the applicable LUP because it is specifically provided for in the following LUP decisions: See explanations under the NEPA Adequacy Criteria, items 1-7, pages 1, 2, and 3.

NEPA Adequacy Criteria

1. Is the current proposed action substantially the same action (or is a part of that action) as previously analyzed?

Livestock grazing in the Clinton Harris Allotment is addressed on page 47 of the RMP/EIS/ROD referenced above. Grazing use in the 1,648 acre allotment is to be continued with a grazing preference of 98 AUM's. No portion of the allotment is proposed for livestock exclusion. The grazing season authorized is from March 1 to January 26.

There are several pastures in the allotment but there are only two pastures with the Bureau of Land Management lands in them. The livestock are rotated between the pastures. The Bureau of Land Management pastures are rested or grazed from June 1 to August 30 and each pasture is grazed for four to six weeks.

2. Is the range of alternatives analyzed in the existing NEPA document appropriate with respect to the current proposed action, given current environmental concerns, interests, and resource values?

Alternatives in the planning document (page 1 to page 5 of the Draft EIS) ranged from emphasizing commodity production and enhancement of economic benefits to emphasizing natural values.

3. Is the existing analysis valid and are the conclusions adequate in light of new information or circumstances (including, for example, riparian functioning condition (PFC) reports; rangeland health standards assessments, Unified Water Assessment categorizations; inventory and monitoring data; most recent Fish and Wildlife Service lists of threatened, endangered, proposed, and candidate species; most recent BLM lists of sensitive species)? Can you reasonably conclude that all new information and all new circumstances are insignificant with regard to the analysis of the proposed action?

The Bureau of Land Management is required to assess all public land grazing allotments for compliance with the Standards for Rangeland Health & Guidelines for Grazing Management (43 CFR 4180). The allotment assessment fo rhtis allotment is scheduled to be becompleted by 2008. Until the completion of the evaluation for this allotment, the new term lezase will contain stipulations that will provide for modifications of the grazing of the public lands, if needed, on completion of the evaluation.

4. Do the methodology and analytical approach used in the existing NEPA documents(s) continue to be appropriate for the current proposed action?

The Two Rivers RMP/EIS addressed impacts of continued grazing and provided objectives and recommendations to facilitate maintenance of existing ecological condition trends (pages 14-16 and 42-54 of ROD). The approach is still considered vital.

5. Are the direct and indirect impacts of the current proposed action substantially unchanged from those analyzed in the Draft Two Rivers RMP/EIS)? Does the existing NEPA document analyze site-specific impacts related to the current proposed action?

Impacts resulting from grazing are essentially unchanged from those analyzed in the Draft Two Rivers RMP/EIS. The Draft Two Rivers RMP/EIS(pages

58-72) stated grazing management will beneficially impact soils and water resources, plant diversity, riparian vegetation, wildlife upland habitat, and fish habitat. Under the preferred alternative grazing will have no significant effect on vegetation types and improve ecological condition. Under the preferred alternative there will be a low impact to cultural resources by grazing.

6. Can you conclude without additional analysis or information that the cumulative impacts that would result from implementation of the current proposed action are substantially unchanged from those analyzed in the existing NEPA documentation?

The RMP does not specifically address cumulative impacts of grazing but does address long term impacts of the action with the assumption that the grazing activity will continue (impact analysis is on page 60 of Draft RMP/EIS). AUM's throughout the Two Rivers areas will change from 17,778 to 24,217 over time. Recommendations and objectives in the document reflect the impacts and expected improvements that will continue with the ongoing grazing. The proposed action is substantially unchanged from those analyzed impacts.

7. Are the public involvement and interagency review associated with the existing NEPA document(s) adequate for the proposed action?

Many of the individuals/organizations on our current "interested publics" list are the same as those on the mailing list for the RMP/EIS referenced above. A copy of this DNA worksheet will be mailed to a representative of the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and to other individuals and organizations that have expressed an interest in this or similar actions.

Interdisciplinary Analysis:

The following Prineville District BLM employees reviewed this analysis for accuracy in their area of expertise.

<u>Name</u>	Resource Represented	Intials/Date
Don Zalunardo Heidi Mottl John Morris John Zancanella Ron Halvorson	Wildlife, Special Status Animals Recreation, Wilderness Fisheries Cultural Resources Botany, Special Status Plants	* DRZ 6/14/07 Hm 3/25/07 JAM 5/1/67 98 3/26/07
Kenneth Primrose Larry Thomas Dan Tippy Bill Pieratt	Range, Livestock Grazing Soils, Hazardous Materials NEPA Coordinator Noxious Weeds	DT 5/2/07 24 5/4/2007 DT5/2/07 DSF 5-1-07

Mitigation Measures:

Recommendations:

The following mitigation measures will be implemented as part of the proposed action: A Manual Supplement, entitled "Rangeland Monitoring in Oregon and Washington", was developed and adopted by the BLM as a guidance document. The Prineville District also developed a district-monitoring plan. Both of these documents receive periodic review and revision. These documents provide a framework and minimum standards for choosing the timing and study methods to collect information needed to issue decisions which affect grazing management as well as watershed, wildlife and threatened and endangered species.

I recommend that the grazing lease for the Clinton Harris Allotment be renewed. Prepared By: Date Date 12-18-2007 Title: Rangeland Management Specialist

Plan Conformance/DNA Determination

The proposed action and any specified mitigation measures (s) were determined to meet the criteria for a Determination of NEPA Adequacy (DNA). No additional environmental analysis is required. All cultural, T & E plant, and T & E wildlife specialists have provided clearance for the proposed project.

	Reviewed By: Date 1/22/08 Environmental Coordinator
*	No Federally histed, Federal Candidate of Bureau Sensitive 5 pe cies found when reach of district sightings database
	Species found when search of district sightings database was done for the general area of this allot ment. Sighting list attached DRZ 4

Approval:

Based on the review documented above, I conclude that this proposal confirms to the applicable land use plan and the NEPA documentation fully covers the proposed action and constitutes BLM's compliance with the requirements of NEPA.

Approved By:

Date


Christina M. Welch Acts

Central Oregon Resource Area Field Manager

Note: The signed <u>Conclusion</u> on this Worksheet is part of an interim step in the BLM's internal decision process and does not constitute an appealable decision.

Attachment

Clinton Harris Allotment, #2551, Map



well # 2551

						Action in the contract of the			1		984	0/16/1980
		0	0	0	0	0	TR640 Doelker		12S 25E 2 n/a n/a			0000
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR640 Bristol		13S 24E 2 n/a n/a	MULE DEER	965	6/9/1980
	STREAM INVENTORY FORM	0	0	0 0	0	0	TR40 Doelker		12S 24E 5 NE NW	MULE DEER	2021 I	6/2/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR160 Conroy		12S 25E 1 SW n/a	MULE DEER	1998	5/28/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR640 Doelker		12S 25E 2 n/a n/a	MOURNING DOVE	983	6/16/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR40 Conroy		13S 25E 2 NE SE	MOUNTAIN CHICKADEE	1006	6/19/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR40 Conroy		13S 25E 2 NE NW	MOUNTAIN CHICKADEE	2006	6/1/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR40 Conroy		13S 25E 2 NE NW	MOUNTAIN CHICKADEE	1997 I	5/28/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR40 Conroy		13S 25E 2 NE NW	MONTANE VOLE	1996	5/28/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR160 Conroy		12S 25E 1 SW n/a	LAZULI BUNTING	1995	5/28/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR40 Conroy		13S 25E 2 NE SE	LAPLAND LONGSPUR	1005	6/19/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR640 Doelker		12S 25E 2 n/a n/a	KILLDEER	982 I	6/16/1980
	RIPARIAN HABITAT INVENTORY	0	0	0 0	0	0	TR40 Bristol		12S 24E 5 NE NW	GREEN-WINGED TEAL	2020	6/2/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR40 Conroy		13S 25E 2 NE NW	GREAT HORNED OWL	1994 (5/28/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR40 Conroy		13S 25E 2 NE SE	GOLDEN EAGLE	1004 (6/19/1980
		2	0	0 0	0	2	TR640 Wayne Elmore		12S 24E 4 n/a n/a	GOLDEN EAGLE	1904 (1/19/1979
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR40 Conroy		13S 25E 2 NE SE	ELK	1003 I	6/19/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR40 Conroy		13S 25E 2 NE NW	ELK	2005 I	6/1/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR640 Doelker		12S 25E 2 n/a n/a	EASTERN KINGBIRD	981	6/16/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR40 Conroy		13S 25E 2 NE SE	DARK-EYED JUNCO	1002 I	6/19/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR40 Conroy	-	13S 25E 2 NE NW	DARK-EYED JUNCO	2004	6/1/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR160 Conroy		12S 25E 1 SW n/a	COYOTE	1993 (5/28/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR640 Doelker		12S 25E 2 n/a n/a	COMMON MERGANSER	980 (6/16/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR40 Conroy		13S 25E 2 NE NW	CHIPPING SPARROW	2003 (6/1/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR40 Conroy		13S 25E 2 NE NW	CHIPPING SPARROW	1992 (5/28/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR160 Conroy		12S 25E 1 SW n/a	CHIPPING SPARROW	1991 (5/28/1980
	STREAM INVENTORY FORM	0	0	0 0	0	0	TR40 Doelker		12S 24E 5 NE NW	CANYON WREN	2015	5/2/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR640 Doelker		12S 25E 2 n/a n/a	BREWER'S BLACKBIRD	979	6/16/1980
	STREAM INVENTORY FORM	0	0	0 0	0	0	TR40 Doelker		12S 24E 5 NE NW	BREWER'S BLACKBIRD	2014	6/2/1980
	STREAM INVENTORY FORM	0	0	0 0	0	0	TR40 Doelker		12S 24E 5 NE NW	BLACK-HEADED GROSBEAK	2013 I	6/2/1980
		0			0	0	TR640 Bristol		24E 2	BLACK-CAPPED CHICKADEE		6/9/1980
		0			0	0	TR640 Bristol		24E 2	BELTED KINGFISHER		6/9/1980
	PHYSICAL STREAM SURVEY	0	0	0 0	0	0	TR40 Doelker		12S 24E 5 SE NE	BEAVER		6/2/1980
	STREAM INVENTORY FORM	0	0		0	0	TR40 Doelker		12S 24E 5 NE NW	BEAVER	2011 F	6/2/1980
		0	0		0	0	TR160 Conroy		12S 25E 1 SW n/a	BARN SWALLOW	1990 I	5/28/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR640 Doelker		12S 25E 2 n/a n/a	BANK SWALLOW	978	6/16/1980
		0	0		0	0	TR640 Bristol		13S 24E 2 n/a n/a	BANK SWALLOW	962	6/9/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR640 Doelker		12S 25E 2 n/a n/a	AMERICAN ROBIN	977	6/16/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR640 Bristol		13S 24E 2 n/a n/a	AMERICAN ROBIN	961 /	6/9/1980
	STREAM INVENTORY FORM	0	0	0 0	0	0	TR40 Doelker		12S 24E 5 NE NW	AMERICAN ROBIN	2010 /	6/2/1980
		0				0		_	25E 2	AMERICAN ROBIN		6/1/1980
		0	0	0 0	0	0	TR160 Conroy		12S 25E 1 SW n/a	AMERICAN ROBIN	1989 /	5/28/1980
Nearest Landmark	Notes	4	Un	V AU	F	≥	cc Observer	16 Acc	T R S 1/4 1/16	Species	ID s	Date
		And the second second second	many of the state or sections.	A SAN PRODUCT OF CAST	Accompany of the Control of the Cont			And special entire Constitution		well " x		

Species	T R S 1/4 1/16 Acc	Observer	Z	<u>"</u>	₹	5	٦ !	Notes	Nearest Landmark
MULE DEER		Conroy	0	0	0	0	0		
NORTHERN FLICKER	12S 24E 5 NE NW TR40	Doelker	0	0	0	0	0	STREAM INVENTORY FORM	
NORTHWESTERN CROW	13S 24E 2 n/a n/a TR640	Bristol	0	0	0	0	0		
PILEATED WOODPECKER	13S 25E 2 NE NW TR40	Conroy	0	0	0	0	0		
PILEATED WOODPECKER	13S 25E 2 NE NW TR40	Conroy	0	0	0	0	0		
PILEATED WOODPECKER	13S 25E 2 NE SE TR40	Conroy	0	0	0	0	0		
PORCUPINE	13S 25E 2 NE NW TR40	Conroy	0	٠ 0	0	0	0		
RED-TAILED HAWK	13S 24E 2 n/a n/a TR640	Bristol	0	0	0	0	0		
RED-WINGED BLACKBIRD	12S 25E 2 n/a n/a TR640	Doelker	0	0	0	0	0		
RUFOUS-SIDED TOWHEE	12S 25E 1 SW n/a TR160	Conroy	0	0	0	0	0		
RUFOUS-SIDED TOWHEE	12S 24E 5 NE NW TR40	Bristol	0	0	0	0	0	RIPARIAN HABITAT INVENTORY	
SPOTTED SANDPIPER	12S 25E 2 n/a n/a TR640	Doelker	0	0	0	0	0		
STELLER'S JAY	13S 24E 2 n/a n/a TR640	Bristol	0	0	0	0	0		
VAUX'S SWIFT	13S 25E 2 NE SE TR40	Conroy	0	0	0	0	0		
WESTERN MEADOWLARK	12S 24E 5 NE NW TR40	Doelker	0	0	0	0	0	STREAM INVENTORY FORM	
WILSON'S WARBLER	12S 25E 1 SW n/a TR160	Conroy	0	0	0	0	0		
YELLOW-BELLIED MARMOT	12S 24E 5 NE NW TR40	Bristol	0	0	0	0	0	RIPARIAN HABITAT INVENTORY	
	25E 2 NE NW	Conroy	0	0	0	>	0		
	ELLOW-RUMPED WARBLER	YELLOW-RUMPED WARBLER 13S 25E 2 NE NW TR40	13S 25E 2 NE NW TR40	13S 25E 2 NE NW TR40	13S 25F 2 NE NW TR40	13S 25F 2 NF NW TR40	13S 25F 2 NF NW TR40	13S 25F 2 NF NW TR40	13S 25E 2 NE NW TR40